

Honour and Shame as Moral-Emotional Identity Regulation in Diaspora: An Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis of Young British South Asian Women

Samrah Asif¹, Aman Asif-Malik^{1,*}

¹University of Northampton, Faculty of Health, Sport & Behavioural Sciences, School of Psychology, University Drive, Northampton NN1 5PH

Research Article

Open Access & Peer-Reviewed Article

DOI:

10.14302/issn.2644-1101.jhp-26-6100

Corresponding author:

Aman Asif-Malik, University of Northampton, Faculty of Health, Sport & Behavioural Sciences, School of Psychology, University Drive, Northampton NN1 5PH.

Keywords:

honour, shame, diaspora, bicultural identity, gender, community psychology

Received: March 06, 2026

Accepted: March 30, 2026

Published: April 07, 2026

Academic Editor:

Sasho Stoleski, Institute of Occupational Health of R. Macedonia, WHO CC and Ga2len CC

Citation:

Samrah Asif, Aman Asif-Malik (2026) Honour and Shame as Moral-Emotional Identity Regulation in Diaspora: An Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis of Young British South Asian Women. *Journal of Human Psychology* - 2(2):37-53. <https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2644-1101.jhp-26-6100>

Abstract

Honour and shame are central moral constructs within many South Asian communities, yet their psychological internalisation in diasporic contexts remains underexamined. This qualitative study explored how young British South Asian women (aged 18–25; N = 6) understand and negotiate honour in relation to gender, religion, and identity. Semi-structured interviews were analysed using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. Six interrelated themes illustrated honour as a gendered and relational system sustained through anticipatory shame, behavioural surveillance, and sexual double standards. Participants described internalised self-monitoring, bicultural identity tension, and strategic resistance through selective compliance and religious reinterpretation. We propose that honour and shame in minority diaspora contexts function as a moral-emotional identity-regulation system characterised by anticipatory shame, relational accountability, and boundary maintenance under racialisation. This conceptualisation advances acculturation and bicultural identity frameworks by arguing that moral emotion, particularly anticipatory shame, functions as a primary mechanism of cultural internalisation, rather than merely an outcome of value endorsement. The findings further illuminate how moral surveillance shapes identity negotiation and psychological wellbeing, with implications for culturally responsive community and clinical practice.

Introduction

Honour and shame have long been recognised as powerful social constructs shaping gendered behaviour, family relationships, and identity within many South Asian communities. These constructs operate as collective moral frameworks through which women's bodies, choices, and conduct are regulated, often positioning women as symbolic custodians of family and community reputation [1-2]. Expectations surrounding sexuality, obedience, and respectability frequently become intertwined with notions of honour, producing both explicit and subtle forms of social control [3]. While existing scholarship has examined honour-based norms and practices, much of this work has focused on extreme manifestations such as honour-based violence or forced marriage. Consequently, the everyday psychological and relational dimensions of honour and shame remain comparatively underexplored.

While honour has been examined as a cultural value system and as a framework underpinning gendered violence, less attention has been paid to the emotional mechanisms through which it becomes internalised in everyday life. In particular, the role of shame as a prospective regulatory force shaping self-monitoring, relational accountability, and identity formation within diasporic minority contexts remains insufficiently theorised.

Scholars argue that honour should not be conceptualised as a static cultural artefact, but as a gendered system of coercive control embedded within broader structures of patriarchy and racialisation [4-7].

Within the British South Asian diaspora, young women frequently navigate competing cultural frameworks that shape their sense of self and belonging. Collectivist family and community expectations emphasise conformity, modesty, and reputational responsibility, whereas dominant British cultural norms prioritise autonomy, self-expression, and gender equality [8-9]. However, there remains limited qualitative research centring women's own interpretations of how honour and shame are internalised, negotiated, and resisted in everyday diasporic life.

Drawing on feminist, intersectional, and social constructionist perspectives, this study conceptualises honour not as a static cultural tradition but as a dynamic, relational system of identity regulation. Within diaspora contexts, honour may function simultaneously as a mechanism of gendered control and as a strategy of boundary maintenance in response to perceived cultural threat.

Cross-cultural psychology has examined bicultural identity development and acculturation processes extensively [10-11]. Dominant acculturation models conceptualise cultural negotiation primarily in terms of value endorsement, behavioural adaptation, or identity integration [10-11]. However, such frameworks offer limited insight into how culturally embedded moral emotions, particularly shame, function as mechanisms through which collective norms are internalised and maintained.

Using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), the present study explores how young British South Asian women internalise, negotiate, and reinterpret honour and shame within diasporic identity formation. We argue that honour and shame operate as a moral-emotional architecture of identity regulation in minority contexts, extending acculturation and bicultural identity frameworks by foregrounding anticipatory shame as a mechanism of cultural internalisation. By centring women's lived experience, the study contributes to community and applied social psychology through a nuanced account of moral surveillance, relational accountability, and negotiated agency.

Method

Design

This study employed a qualitative design using semi-structured interviews and Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) to explore how young British South Asian women understand and experience honour and shame within a diasporic context. IPA was selected to explore participants' lived experience and sense-making [12]. The study was informed by a social constructionist epistemology, conceptualising honour and shame as culturally produced and relational rather than inherent traits. An intersectional lens guided attention to how gender, race, religion, and diasporic positioning intersect in shaping experience. Reflexivity was maintained throughout data collection and analysis. Participants: six British South-Asian women, aged 18-25 (N = 6). As a qualitative IPA study, the aim was not statistical generalisation but depth of lived experience. The findings therefore offer analytic rather than statistical generalisability, consistent with IPA's epistemological commitments.

Participants

Participants were young British South Asian women aged 18–25 who self-identified as South Asian and had been raised in the United Kingdom. A purposive sampling strategy was used to recruit individuals likely to have direct experience of honour-based expectations, consistent with IPA's idiographic commitment to depth [12]. Recruitment occurred via social media and university networks. The final sample comprised 6 participants. Participants represented diverse South Asian heritages (e.g., Pakistani, Indian, Bangladeshi) and varied religious identifications (e.g., Muslim, Hindu). All were second-generation migrants raised in the United Kingdom, and were university students at the time of interview. This sample size is consistent with IPA's idiographic emphasis on depth and detailed case-level analysis [12].

Data Collection

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews lasting approximately 45–60 minutes. An interview schedule guided discussion of identity, belonging, cultural understandings of honour and shame, gendered expectations, religion and culture, psychological impact, and strategies of negotiation or resistance. Open-ended questions encouraged depth and flexibility.

Interviews were conducted either in person or online, according to participant preference. With informed consent, interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Participants were reminded of their right to withdraw or decline to answer questions at any stage.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board prior to data collection. Given the sensitivity of the topic, particular attention was paid to confidentiality and participant welfare. Pseudonyms were assigned and identifying details removed from transcripts. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw and of the limits of confidentiality in cases of risk disclosure. Information regarding appropriate support services was provided following the interview.

Analytic Procedure

Analysis followed the procedures outlined by [12], including iterative reading, detailed initial noting, development of emergent themes, and cross-case patterning. Analysis moved from idiographic case-level interpretation to identification of shared patterns across participants.

Reflexivity and Rigour

The researcher occupied a partial insider position as a British South Asian woman. While this facilitated rapport and cultural understanding, reflexive practice was essential to manage assumptions and analytic bias [13]. A reflexive journal was maintained throughout data collection and analysis.

To enhance analytic credibility, themes were developed through iterative cross-case comparison and reviewed against full transcripts to ensure grounding in participants' accounts. Analytic decisions were documented in a reflexive journal to maintain transparency regarding interpretative processes. Given IPA's double hermeneutic commitment, attention was paid to balancing participants' meaning-making with theoretical interpretation. The analytic process prioritised idiographic depth while ensuring coherence across cases.

Findings

The analysis generated six interrelated superordinate themes illustrating how participants navigated honour, shame, gendered expectations, and identity within British South Asian diasporic contexts.

- Theme 1 – Cultural construction of Honour and Shame (collective, gendered system)

- Theme 2 – Sexuality and Gender double standards
- Theme 3 – Religion and Culture intertwining
- Theme 4 – Gendered Behavioural Policing
- Theme 5 – Impact on Mental Health and Identity
- Theme 6 – Resilience and Strategic Resistance

Consistent with the idiographic commitment of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), themes are presented with illustrative quotations to preserve participants' meaning-making.

Theme 1: The Cultural Construction of Honour and Shame

Participants described honour (izzat) and shame as collective moral systems governing behaviour through family and community expectations. Honour was not experienced as an individual quality but as an inherited responsibility, with women positioned as custodians of familial reputation. As one participant explained, "It's never really about you as a person – it's about how your family looks because of you." This distinction between self and family illustrates how personal identity was subsumed within communal evaluation. Identity was therefore experienced as relationally co-owned rather than individually possessed, suggesting that the self was constituted through anticipated communal evaluation. Honour functioned as an evaluative horizon against which the self was continuously measured, embedding moral accountability within everyday self-perception.

Shame operated as anticipatory and internalised. Participants described regulating themselves before any explicit rule was articulated. "Even doing something normal feels risky," one woman noted, capturing how everyday behaviour became morally charged. Expectations were rarely explicitly stated; rather, they were absorbed through silence and social cues: "No one ever explains it, you just know."

Honour was consistently described as gendered. Male behaviour was framed as temporary or forgivable, whereas women's actions were portrayed as permanent reflections of character. As one participant put it, "Boys mess up and it's just a phase, but for girls it sticks forever." Women described feeling as though they were "walking on eggshells," aware that minor behaviours (e.g., clothing, socialising, tone) could carry reputational consequences.

Theme 2: Sexuality and Gender Double Standards

Sexuality emerged as a central site of moral surveillance. Participants described clear double standards in which women's sexuality was scrutinised while men's behaviour was normalised. Sexuality was framed as a public matter tied to family honour rather than a private dimension of identity.

Participants described silence as a key regulatory tool. "Girls aren't allowed to even be associated with sexuality," one explained. Discussion itself was often treated as transgressive. Dating was described as particularly shame-laden: "Dating isn't just wrong, it's shameful." Romantic relationships were perceived as threats to respectability and future marriage prospects.

By contrast, male sexuality was frequently excused. "If a boy does it, it's normal... but if it's a girl, her whole character is questioned."

Participants described living under an imagined community gaze: "You're always thinking, what if someone sees me?" This anticipatory surveillance shaped movement, dress, and interaction. The imagined gaze operated as a form of prospective discipline, structuring behaviour through anticipated moral judgement rather than direct prohibition. Shame was described less as a reaction to wrongdoing and more as an anticipatory affective state that structured behaviour before transgression occurred. Re-

restrictions were often framed as protective, yet experienced as controlling: “They say it’s to protect us, but it feels more like control.”

Theme 3: The Role of Religion and Culture

Participants described religion and culture as deeply intertwined within family life, often presented as indistinguishable. Religious language was frequently invoked to legitimise behavioural restrictions, yet participants questioned whether these expectations were theological or cultural.

“You’re told it’s religious, but no one can explain why,” one participant observed. Others described independently exploring their faith and discovering inconsistencies between familial interpretations and religious texts: “When I actually learned more about my religion, I realised a lot of it wasn’t even religious.”

For some, faith provided affirmation and dignity independent of cultural expectations. Religion was therefore experienced ambivalently: as both a potential mechanism of control and a source of personal meaning. This ambivalence illustrates religion’s dual capacity as both a legitimising discourse for gendered regulation and a resource for moral reinterpretation. Participants’ engagement with religious texts indicates active meaning-making rather than passive cultural inheritance.

Challenging religious interpretations could provoke defensiveness from older generations, reflecting how moral authority was intertwined with generational identity.

Theme 4: Gendered Expectations and Behavioural Policing

Gendered expectations were described as routine and pervasive, shaping speech, posture, clothing, and mobility. Participants characterised this as ongoing surveillance rather than isolated rules.

Respectability was linked to silence and compliance. “Being quiet is seen as being well mannered,” one participant explained. Women described being criticised for laughing loudly, expressing opinions, or appearing “too visible.”

Surveillance was communal. Extended family members and community figures were described as active enforcers. “It’s not always your parents, it’s what people will say to them,” one woman noted, highlighting reputational management across networks.

Older women were often identified as particularly strict, illustrating intergenerational transmission of expectations. Restrictions on mobility were common, with participants contrasting their limited freedom to the autonomy of male siblings: “My brother never has to explain where he’s going.” Regulation operated through diffuse relational networks rather than singular authority figures. The absence of a clearly identifiable enforcer intensified anticipatory self-monitoring, as accountability was perceived as potentially everywhere.

Theme 5: The Impact of Honour and Shame on Mental Health and Identity

Participants described honour and shame as deeply internalised, shaping self-worth and emotional well-being. Worthiness was frequently tied to compliance: “good as long as you’re doing what they expect.” This conditional acceptance generated anxiety and self-doubt.

Hypervigilance and overthinking were common. “I’m always thinking about how I’m coming across, even when I’m alone,” one participant explained, illustrating how external surveillance became internal self-policing. Shame was experienced as persistent and anticipatory, functioning less as a response to discrete transgressions and more as a continuous background condition of self-evaluation. Participants’

accounts of monitoring themselves “even when alone” indicate that communal evaluation had become internalised as self-surveillance.

Many described feeling culturally “in between,” not fully belonging to either British or South Asian contexts: “You don’t feel fully part of either world.”

Mental health struggles were often minimised within families. Emotional distress was reframed as ingratitude or weakness, discouraging open discussion or help-seeking. Participants described experiences of anxiety, low self-esteem, and persistent feelings of inadequacy: “Never enough, no matter what I do.”

At the same time, some participants reframed their distress as a response to pressure rather than personal deficiency. Recognising the structural origins of their anxiety marked a shift towards self-compassion and critical awareness.

Theme 6: Resilience and Resistance

Despite significant constraints, participants demonstrated agency through subtle negotiation and reinterpretation. Resistance was often strategic rather than confrontational. “You do what you need to do, you just don’t tell them everything,” one participant explained, describing selective disclosure as a survival strategy.

University and physical distance from home were described as transformative. “Coming to uni was the first time I could breathe.” Autonomy was experienced as relief rather than rebellion.

Several participants redefined honour in personal terms, shifting from obedience and sexual purity to integrity and authenticity. “For me, honour is being true to myself.” This reframing allowed women to retain cultural connection while rejecting restrictive interpretations.

Peer relationships, particularly with other South Asian women, provided validation and reduced isolation. Digital spaces also facilitated exposure to alternative narratives and feminist discourse.

Resistance carried emotional costs, including guilt and fear of exclusion. “Even when you choose yourself, you still feel bad.” These strategies reflect negotiated agency within structurally constrained contexts. Rather than rejecting honour outright, participants re-authored its meaning, shifting from sexual propriety towards personal integrity.

Discussion

Our findings position Honour and Shame as a moral-emotional identity-regulation system, with anticipatory shame as the primary internalisation mechanism, extending Berry’s [10] acculturation and Benet-Martinez’s [11] bicultural identity frameworks. This study conceptualised honour and shame as a moral-emotional system regulating identity within diasporic minority contexts. Across six themes, participants described anticipatory shame, relational accountability, and behavioural surveillance as mechanisms through which honour was internalised. Rather than functioning solely as cultural values, honour and shame emerged as an emotional architecture shaping self-monitoring, belonging, and negotiated agency.

Honour and Shame as Collective Moral Systems

The findings position honour and shame as collective moral systems embedded within family and community life. Honour (izzat) was experienced as belonging to the family rather than the individual, with women positioned as primary custodians. This supports longstanding scholarship conceptualising

honour as relational and reputation-based, while extending this literature by illustrating how such expectations are intensified within diaspora contexts concerned with cultural preservation.

Everyday behaviours carried moral significance due to their perceived reputational implications. Participants' accounts of anticipatory self-monitoring reflect processes of internalised surveillance, consistent with analyses of disciplinary power. From a social constructionist perspective, honour and shame are sustained through repetition, narrative comparison, and implicit socialisation rather than formal instruction. The findings demonstrate how these constructs become embedded within identity development, shaping self-evaluation and behavioural regulation.

Notably, several participants described honour-based expectations as heightened within the British context, where maintaining respectability was linked to protection against racism or negative stereotyping. This complicates interpretations of honour solely as patriarchal oppression and suggests that it may also function as a defensive cultural strategy within marginalised communities. However, women bore disproportionate responsibility for maintaining this collective respectability, reinforcing gendered asymmetry.

Sexuality as a Site of Moral Regulation

Sexuality emerged as one of the most tightly regulated domains. Participants described stark double standards in which male sexuality was tolerated while female sexuality was constructed as morally consequential. Regulation extended beyond behaviour to perception and association, illustrating how reputation operates through symbolic boundaries.

Silence around dating and desire intensified regulation, with shame operating in anticipation of potential judgement. Participants described concealment and "double lives" as strategies for navigating contradictory expectations. While secrecy enabled limited autonomy, it also produced anxiety and identity fragmentation.

Within diaspora contexts, Western dating norms were often framed as threats to cultural continuity. As a result, women became symbolic boundary markers between tradition and modernity.

Negotiating Religion and Culture

Participants described blurred boundaries between religion and culture, particularly where behavioural restrictions were justified through religious language. Many distinguished between cultural practices and religious principles, identifying selective interpretations that reinforced patriarchal control.

Importantly, religion functioned ambivalently. For some participants, independent engagement with religious texts enabled reinterpretation and resistance, providing moral grounds for challenging restrictive norms. This illustrates religion's dual capacity as both a legitimising framework for control and a resource for agency.

Generational differences were salient. Older family members often conflated culture and religion, whereas younger women demonstrated greater reflexivity in distinguishing between them. The findings contribute to diaspora scholarship by showing how religious meaning is actively negotiated rather than passively inherited.

Everyday Surveillance and Gendered Policing

Honour and shame were sustained through routine behavioural monitoring. Participants described scrutiny of clothing, speech, mobility, and emotional expression, reflecting diffuse forms of regulation embedded in daily life. Enforcement was frequently intergenerational and communal, with extended networks participating in reputational management.

Surveillance became internalised, shaping anticipatory self-regulation. Women described constant evaluation of how actions might be perceived, demonstrating how moral norms become psychological structures. Marriage was sometimes framed as a release from parental scrutiny, yet participants' accounts suggest it often represented a transfer rather than removal of moral regulation, with accountability shifting from natal family to marital structures.

These findings align with feminist analyses of internalised discipline while situating such processes within diasporic contexts concerned with boundary maintenance and cultural continuity.

Psychological Impact and Bicultural Identity

Honour and shame exerted sustained psychological effects. Participants reported chronic anxiety, guilt, and conditional self-worth linked to meeting collective expectations. Shame functioned as a central emotional mechanism connecting cultural regulation to self-evaluation.

Identity conflict was particularly pronounced in navigating British and South Asian cultural frameworks. Many participants described feeling "in between," reflecting tensions associated with bicultural identity negotiation. Honour intensified these tensions by privileging collectivist loyalty over individual autonomy, complicating identity integration.

Collectively, these findings advance cross-cultural psychological theory by demonstrating that honour operates not merely as a value orientation, but as an internalised regulatory system embedded within bicultural development. Whereas acculturation models often conceptualise cultural conflict as tension between value systems, the present findings indicate that moral emotions function as the psychological infrastructure through which such values are enforced and sustained. Anticipatory shame appeared to operate as a regulatory mechanism shaping behaviour prior to conscious value negotiation, suggesting that moral affect may be a foundational component of bicultural identity development rather than a secondary outcome.

Resilience and Negotiated Agency

Despite constraints, participants demonstrated significant agency. Resistance was typically strategic rather than confrontational, including selective compliance, secrecy, reinterpretation of religious principles, and pursuit of education. These strategies reflect negotiated agency within contexts where overt defiance may carry relational costs.

Peer support and university environments provided spaces for autonomy and critical reflection. Some participants redefined honour in personal terms, shifting from obedience and sexual propriety towards integrity and self-respect. Such reinterpretations illustrate how cultural constructs can be reworked rather than simply rejected.

These findings challenge deficit narratives portraying South Asian women as passive recipients of control. Instead, they highlight resilience embedded within relational and cultural contexts.

Theoretical Implications for Cross-Cultural Psychology

The present findings suggest that prevailing acculturation and bicultural identity models insufficiently account for the moral-emotional mechanisms through which cultural norms are internalised and sustained. Classical bidimensional acculturation frameworks [10] conceptualise adaptation in terms of orientation towards heritage and host cultures, while bicultural identity integration models [11] emphasise perceived compatibility between cultural identities. However, these models largely treat cultural internalisation as a matter of value endorsement, behavioural adaptation, or identity harmony. The pre-

sent findings suggest that moral emotion, particularly anticipatory shame, may precede and regulate these processes. Rather than merely endorsing collectivist values, participants described being governed by prospective emotional consequences tied to communal evaluation. This indicates that acculturation is not only a negotiation of cultural orientation, but also a negotiation of moral-emotional accountability.

Proposed Conceptual Model: Honour as a Diasporic Identity-Regulation System

The present findings support a conceptualisation of honour in minority diasporic contexts as a culturally embedded identity-regulation system operating through moral emotion. Specifically, we propose that honour-based regulation in diaspora is characterised by three interrelated mechanisms:

Anticipatory Shame as Emotional Governance – Shame operates prospectively rather than reactively, shaping behaviour before transgression occurs through imagined community evaluation.

Relational Accountability and Collective Selfhood – The self is experienced as symbolically tied to family and community reputation, producing heightened moral responsibility for maintaining collective respectability.

Boundary Maintenance Under Racialisation – Honour intensifies under minority conditions, functioning as a strategy for preserving cultural legitimacy and resisting negative stereotyping within dominant Western contexts.

This model extends acculturation and bicultural identity frameworks by specifying moral emotion as a regulatory mechanism that structures identity negotiation prior to, and alongside, value endorsement. Honour in diaspora therefore operates not merely as a cultural value, but as a regulatory emotional architecture embedded within identity development.

Honour in diaspora appears to operate as a minority-context regulatory system in which women's behaviour becomes symbolically linked to collective respectability under conditions of racialisation. If acculturation theory seeks to explain how culture becomes psychologically internalised, then moral emotion, particularly anticipatory shame, must be conceptualised not merely as an outcome of value endorsement, but as one of its primary regulatory mechanisms. Integrating moral-emotional processes into cross-cultural theory therefore enables a more precise account of how cultural norms become lived, embodied, and affectively sustained within minority contexts, particularly where identity negotiation unfolds under conditions of racialisation and moral scrutiny.

Limitations

The study's idiographic design and small, purposively selected sample limit generalisability. The small sample size reflects IPA's idiographic focus and is not intended to support statistical inference. Instead, the study provides rich, contextualised insight into meaning-making processes. The predominantly university-educated composition of the sample may have shaped participants' capacity for critical reinterpretation of honour norms, limiting transferability to women with fewer educational resources.

Data were based on retrospective self-report, and accounts were shaped by participants' interpretative frameworks. The researcher's partial insider position enhanced rapport but required reflexive attention to assumptions. Future research may benefit from comparative samples, including men, older women, or cross-national diaspora groups, as well as longitudinal designs examining shifts across life stages. The sample consisted of university students, which may limit transferability. Including professional women (e.g., teachers, lawyers, healthcare workers) in future studies would provide insight into how honour and shame operate within workplace and adult community contexts.

Future research could also incorporate older age groups (e.g., 30-50) to explore how honour, shame, and identity regulation evolve across the life course and whether generational shifts alter the prominence of moral surveillance. Longitudinal follow-up with the same cohort into mid-adulthood (around the age of 45) would further illuminate how anticipatory shame and identity negotiation develop over time.

Practical Implications

The findings highlight the importance of incorporating honour-based moral regulation into culturally responsive psychological theory and practice. Honour and shame operate as relational systems that may shape help-seeking, disclosure, and experiences of confidentiality. Clinicians should attend to anticipatory shame, conditional self-worth, and bicultural identity tension when working with young British South Asian women. Interventions that focus solely on cognitive belief restructuring may overlook the affective force of shame as a regulatory mechanism, underscoring the importance of compassion-focused and relational approaches.

Educational and community settings may provide supportive spaces for peer validation and dialogue. Engagement with faith leaders and community stakeholders may support gender-equitable reinterpretations that disentangle religious principles from restrictive cultural practices. Importantly, applied approaches must avoid pathologising South Asian communities and should balance gender equity with anti-racist sensitivity.

Conclusion

The study demonstrates that honour operates as a gendered, relational system sustained by anticipatory shame, relational accountability and boundary maintenance under racialisation. Participants internalised self-monitoring, experienced bicultural identity tension, and employed strategic resistance through selective compliance and religious reinterpretation. Anticipatory shame emerges as a primary mechanism of cultural internalisation, refining existing acculturation and bicultural identity models. Clinically, practitioners should attend to this moral-emotional architecture, fostering faith-informed, gender-equitable dialogues that avoid pathologising South-Asia cultures. Together, these findings highlight the need for culturally responsive community and clinical practice that recognises honour and shame as active moral-emotional forces shaping identity, wellbeing, and agency.

Statements and Declarations

Ethical considerations

The Psychology Ethics Review Committee at the University of Northampton approved our interviews on October 24th, 2026. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participating.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding statement

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Data Accessibility Statement

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

References

1. Mohammad Mazher Idriss and Tahir Abbas, *Honour, violence, women and Islam*. Abingdon, Oxfordshire ; New York: Routledge, 2011.
2. Yasmin Hussain, *Writing diaspora : South Asian women, culture and ethnicity*. London: Routledge, 2016.
3. R. Khan, S. Saleem, and M. Lowe, “‘Honour’-based violence in a British South Asian community,” *Safer Communities*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 11–21, Jan. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/sc-02-2017-0007>.
4. A. K. Gill and A. Brah, “Interrogating cultural narratives about ‘honour’- based violence,” *European Journal of Women’s Studies*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 72–86, Nov. 2014, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350506813510424>.
5. Gill, *Forced Marriage: Introducing the Legal Null Rights Perspective*. Zed Books, Limited, 2011.
6. M. Hester, “Who does what to whom? Gender and domestic violence perpetrators in English police records,” *European Journal of Criminology*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 623–637, Apr. 2013, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370813479078>.
7. N. Mulvihill, G. Gangoli, A. K. Gill, and M. Hester, “The experience of interactional justice for victims of ‘honour’-based violence and abuse reporting to the police in England and Wales,” *Policing and Society*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 640–656, Jan. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2018.1427745>.
8. D. Bhugra and M. A. Becker, “Migration, cultural bereavement and cultural identity,” *World psychiatry: official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 18–24, Feb. 2005.
9. A. Giddens, *Modernity and self-identity: self and society in the late modern age*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 1991.
10. J. W. Berry, “Acculturation: Living Successfully in Two Cultures,” *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 697–712, Nov. 2005, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2005.07.013>.
11. V. Benet-Martinez and J. Haritatos, “Bicultural Identity Integration (BII): Components and Psychosocial Antecedents,” *Journal of Personality*, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 1015–1050, Aug. 2005, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2005.00337.x>.
12. J. A. Smith, P. Flower, and M. Larkin, “Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research,” *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 346–347, Nov. 2009, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780880903340091>.
13. S. C. Dwyer and J. L. Buckle, “The space between: on being an insider-outsider in qualitative research,” *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 54–63, Mar. 2009, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690900800105>.
14. L. ABU-LUGHOD, “The Romance of resistance: Tracing Transformations of Power through Bedouin Women,” *American Ethnologist*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 41–55, Feb. 1990.
15. L. Abu-Lughod, *Do Muslim Women Need Saving?* Harvard University Press, 2013.
16. F. Ahmad, “Modern Traditions? British Muslim Women and Academic Achievement,” *Gender and*

- Education, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 137–152, Jun. 2001, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540250120051169>.
17. L. Ahmed, *Women and gender in Islam historical roots of a modern debate*. Philadelphia University Of Pennsylvania Pr, 2011.
 18. S. Ahmed, *On Being Included: Racism and Diversity in Institutional Life*. Duke University Press, 2012. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822395324>.
 19. S. Ahmed, *Living a feminist life*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2017, pp. 251–268.
 20. K. Ali and American Council Of Learned Societies, *Sexual ethics and Islam : feminist reflections on Qur'an, Hadith, and jurisprudence*. London, England: Oneworld, 2013.
 21. 著者: Shakuntala Banaji, *Children and media in India : narratives of class, agency and social change*. 出版商: London ; New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2018.
 22. A. Bandura, *Social Learning Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1977.
 23. S. L. Bartky, *Femininity and Domination*. Routledge, 2012, pp. 63–82. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203825259>.
 24. T. N. Basit, “‘My parents have stressed that since I was a kid’: Young minority ethnic British citizens and the phenomenon of aspirational capital,” *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 129–143, Jun. 2012, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1746197912440857>.
 25. V. Kiridaran, M. Chawla, and J. V. Bailey, “Views, attitudes and experiences of South Asian women concerning sexual health services in the UK: a qualitative study,” *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1–6, Jul. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2022.2096216>.
 26. M. Ungar, “The social ecology of resilience: addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct,” *The American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2011, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.2010.01067.x>.
 27. S. Bhanot and C. Y. Senn, “Attitudes Towards Violence Against Women In Men of South Asian Ancestry: Are Acculturation and Gender Role Attitudes Important Factors?,” *Journal of Family Violence*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 25–31, Jan. 2007, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-006-9060-0>.
 28. K. Bhopal, “Gender, identity and experience: Researching marginalised groups,” *Women’s Studies International Forum*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 188–195, May 2010, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2009.12.005>.
 29. S. Bordo, *Unbearable Weight: Feminism, Western culture, and the Body*. Berkeley, Calif. Univ. Of California Press, 1993.
 30. J. Bowlby, *Attachment and loss*, vol. 1. New York: Basic Books, 1969.
 31. A. Brah, *Cartographies of Diaspora*. Routledge, 1996. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203974919>.
 32. V. Braun and V. Clarke, “Reflecting on Reflexive Thematic Analysis,” *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 589–597, 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>.
 33. The British Psychological Society, *BPS Code of Human Research Ethics*. Leicester: The British

- Psychological Society, 2021.
34. V. Burr, *Social constructionism*, 3rd ed. London: Routledge, 2015, pp. 1–30.
 35. N. Chakraborti and J. Garland, *Responding to hate crime : the case for connecting policy and research*. Bristol: Policy Press, 2014.
 36. K. Chantler, “Recognition of and Intervention in Forced Marriage as a Form of Violence and Abuse,” *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 176–183, May 2012, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838012448121>.
 37. A. S. Chaudhry, *Domestic violence and the Islamic tradition : ethics, law, and the Muslim discourse on gender*. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press, 2013.
 38. K. Crenshaw, “Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics,” *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989. <http://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/uclf/vol1989/iss1/8>
 39. J. V. Diller, *Cultural Diversity: A Primer for the Human Services*. Cengage Learning, 2013.
 40. M. A. dos Santos, J. M. de Freitas e Castro, and C. S. de Freitas Lino Pinto Cardoso, “The Moral Emotions of Guilt and Shame in Children: Relationship with Parenting and Temperament,” *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 2759–2769, Jun. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-020-01766-6>.
 41. C. Dwyer, “Negotiating diasporic identities: Young british south asian muslim women,” *Women’s Studies International Forum*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 475–486, Jul. 2000, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5395\(00\)00110-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5395(00)00110-2).
 42. V. Eatough and J. A. Smith, “Interpretative phenomenological analysis,” *eprints.bbk.ac.uk*, Jul. 01, 2017. <https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/id/eprint/16386>
 43. E. H. Erikson, “Identity, youth and crisis,” *Behavioral Science*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 154–159, Mar. 1968, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/bs.3830140209>.
 44. H. S. Becker and K. T. Erikson, “Review of *Outsiders: Studies in the Sociology of Deviance.*,” *American Journal of Sociology*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 417–419, 1964, Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2775112>
 45. S. Fernando, *Institutional Racism in Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology : Race Matters in Mental Health*. Cham: Springer International Publishing : Imprint : Palgrave Macmillan, 2017.
 46. M. Foucault, *Discipline and Punish: the Birth of the Prison*. New York: Vintage Books, 1977. Available: https://monoskop.org/images/4/43/Foucault_Michel_Discipline_and_Punish_The_Birth_of_the_Prison_1977_1995.pdf
 47. S. Gerrard and G. Thomson, “Navigating shame to negotiate sexual agency among British-born South Asian women: A grounded theory study,” *Health Care For Women International*, pp. 1–20, Jun. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07399332.2025.2519474>.
 48. P. Gilbert, *Compassion : conceptualisations, research and use in psychotherapy*. London ; New York: Routledge, 2005.
 49. P. Gilbert, *Body Shame Conceptualisation, Research and Treatment*. Routledge, 2014.
 50. A. Gill, “Reconfiguring ‘honour’-based Violence as a Form of Gendered violence.” *Honour, violence, women and Islam*. Routledge-Cavendish, 2010.

51. A. K. Gill, "Feminist Reflections on Researching So-called 'Honour' Killings," *Feminist Legal Studies*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 241–261, Oct. 2013, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10691-013-9249-6>.
52. R. K. Thiara and A. K. Gill, *Violence against women in South Asian communities issues for policy and practice*. London Jessica Kingsley Publ, 2012.
53. C. Gilligan, *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1982.
54. N. J. Goel, B. Thomas, R. L. Boutté, B. Kaur, and S. E. Mazzeo, "'What will people say?': Mental health stigmatization as a barrier to eating disorder treatment-seeking for South Asian American women.," *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, vol. 14, no. 1, Jan. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/aap0000271>.
55. E. Goffman, *Stigma: notes on the management of spoiled identity*. S.L.: Penguin Books, 1963. Available: <https://cdn.penguin.co.uk/dam-assets/books/9780241548011/9780241548011-sample.pdf>
56. R. Khan and P. Morris, "'Honour'-based Abuse, Forced Marriage, and Female Genital Mutilation in Greater Manchester Scoping and Research Exercise Report prepared for GMCA by onEvidence," 2025. Available: <https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/3jpbkjh2/v4report-honour-based-abuse-forced-marriage-and-female-genital-mutilation-in-greater-manchester-scoping-and-research-exercise-accessible.pdf>
57. Inderpal Grewal, C. Kaplan, and R. Wiegman, *Transnational America Feminisms, Diasporas, Neoliberalisms*. Duke University Press, 2005.
58. S. Hall, "Cultural Identity and Diaspora," 1990. Available: <https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/arts/english/currentstudents/postgraduate/masters/modules/asiandiaspora/hallculturalidentityanddiaspora.pdf>
59. M. Hammersley and P. Atkinson, *Ethnography : principles in practice*. London ; New York: Routledge, 2007.
60. S. Harkness, C. M. Super, and C. H. Keefer, "Learning to be an American parent: how cultural models gain directive force," *Cambridge University Press eBooks*, pp. 163–178, May 1992, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139166515.008>.
61. Judith Lewis Herman, *Trauma & recovery : the aftermath of violence : from domestic abuse to political terror/* Judith Lewis Herman. New York: Basicbooks, 1997.
62. E. T. Higgins, "Self-discrepancy: A theory relating self and affect," *Psychological Review*, vol. 94, no. 3, pp. 319–340, 1987, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.94.3.319>.
63. J. Jacobson, "Religion and ethnicity: Dual and alternative sources of identity among young British Pakistanis," *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 238–256, Apr. 1997, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.1997.9993960>.
64. N. Kabeer, "Resources, Agency, Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of Women's Empowerment," *Development and Change*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 435–464, Jul. 1999, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00125>.
65. C. Kagitcibasi, "Autonomy and Relatedness in Cultural Context," *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 403–422, Jul. 2005, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022105275959>.
66. K. Riley, "Women, Leadership, and Mosques," *American Journal of Islam and Society*, vol. 31, no.

- 2, pp. 118–121, Apr. 2014, doi: <https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v31i2.1044>.
67. D. Kandiyoti, “Bargaining with Patriarchy,” *Gender & Society*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 274–290, Sep. 1988.
68. D. Kandiyoti, “Identity and its Discontents: Women and the Nation,” *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 429–443, Mar. 1991, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298910200031501>.
69. R. Kapur, *Erotic justice : postcolonialism, law, sexuality*. London: Glasshouse Press, 2004.
70. Karl Anton Roberts, *HONOR-BASED VIOLENCE : policing and prevention*. S.L.: Crc Press, 2019.
71. K. Nivarna, “Honour Based Abuse and Karma Nirvana - Karma Nirvana,” *Karma Nirvana*, Oct. 18, 2024. <https://karmanirvana.org.uk/get-help/professionals/honour-based-abuse-and-karma-nirvana/>
72. R. Khan, *The Psychology of Honor Abuse, Violence, and Killings*. Routledge, 2024. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003299950>.
73. K. Knott, “Identity issues and world religions | WorldCat.org,” *Worldcat.org*, 1986. http://www.worldcat.org/title/identity-issues-and-world-religions/oclc/503670980&referer=brief_results (accessed Apr. 01, 2026).
74. H. B. Lewis, *Shame and Guilt in Neurosis*. International Universities Press., 1971.
75. S. Mahmood, *Politics of Piety: the Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2005.
76. J. E. Marcia, “Development and Validation of Ego-Identity Status,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 551–558, 1966, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0023281>.
77. J. Marecek, “Suman Fernando, Institutional racism in psychiatry and clinical psychology: Race matters in mental health,” *Feminism & Psychology*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 165–168, Feb. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959353521991726>.
78. V. Meeto and H. S. Mirza, ““There is nothing “honourable” about honour killings”: Gender, violence and the limits of multiculturalism,” *Women’s Studies International Forum*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 187–200, May 2007, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2007.03.001>.
79. M. K. Mucina, “WITNESSING, GRIEVING, AND REMEMBERING: LETTERS OF RESISTANCE, LOVE, AND RECLAMATION FROM DAUGHTERS OF IZZAT,” *International Journal of Child, Youth and Family Studies*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 13–30, Mar. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.18357/ijcyfs121202120081>.
80. onevidence, “Major Report Launched on Honour Abuse, Forced Marriage, and FGM,” *onevidence*, Sep. 02, 2025. <https://onevidence.co.uk/2025/09/02/gmca-launches-landmark-report-on-honour-based-abuse-forced-marriage-and-female-genital-mutilation/> (accessed Apr. 01, 2026).
81. C. L. Park, “Making sense of the meaning literature: An integrative review of meaning making and its effects on adjustment to stressful life events.,” *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 257–301, 2010, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018301>.
82. A. Phoenix, “Analysing Narrative Contexts,” *SAGE Publications Ltd eBooks*, pp. 72–87, Jan. 2013, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781526402271.n4>.

83. A. Phoenix, *Psychosocial Intersections: Contextualising the Accounts of Adults Who Grew Up in Visibly Ethnically Different Households*. Routledge, 2011.
84. Pnina Werbner, University Of Keele, and Association Of Social Anthropologists. Conference, *Anthropology and the new cosmopolitanism : rooted, feminist and vernacular perspectives*. Oxford ; New York: Berg, 2008.
85. Dounia Bourabain, "Puwar, N. (Ed.). (2004). *Space invaders: Race, gender and bodies out of place*. New York: Berg.," *ResearchGate*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 75–84, 2019, Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340983182_Puwar_N_Ed_2004_Space_invaders_Race_gender_and_bodies_out_of_place_New_York_Berg
86. N. Puwar and S. Sharma, "Curating Sociology," *The Sociological Review*, vol. 60, no. 1_suppl, pp. 40–63, Jun. 2012, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954x.2012.02116.x>.
87. J. C. Scott, "Domination and the Arts of Resistance," in *Domination and the Arts of Resistance*, Yale University Press, 1990, pp. i–vi. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1np6zz>
88. E. Shove, "Beyond the ABC: Climate Change Policy and Theories of Social Change," *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1273–1285, Jun. 2010, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1068/a42282>.
89. B. Skeggs, "Formations of Class and Gender: Becoming Respectable Formations of class and gender: Becoming respectable," *Formations of class and gender: Becoming respectable.* , 2002, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446217597>.
90. J. A. Smith, "Beyond the divide between cognition and discourse: Using interpretative phenomenological analysis in health psychology," *Psychology & Health*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 261–271, Feb. 1996, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870449608400256>.
91. J. A. Smith, "Evaluating the contribution of interpretative phenomenological analysis," *Health Psychology Review*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 9–27, Mar. 2011, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2010.510659>.
92. J. P. Tangney, "Shame and Guilt," *Encyclopedia of Crime and Punishment*, 2002, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412950664.n388>.
93. "Forced Marriage and Honour Based Violence Charity - Halo Project," www.haloproject.org.uk. <https://www.haloproject.org.uk>
94. "Update following ministerial remarks on inclusion of Child Sexual Abuse and Exploitation in new VAWG Strategy - The Survivors Trust," *The Survivors Trust*, Sep. 04, 2025. <https://thesurvivorstrust.org/news/update-following-ministerial-remarks-on-inclusion-of-child-sexual-abuse-and-exploitation-in-new-vawg-strategy/>
95. "The Survivors Trust - Rape & Sexual Abuse Services UK," thesurvivorstrust.org. <https://thesurvivorstrust.org>
96. J. Weinberg, "THE MISSING LINK AN UPDATED EVALUATION OF THE PROVISION, PRACTICE AND POLITICS OF DEMOCRATIC EDUCATION IN ENGLISH SECONDARY SCHOOLS," 2021. Available: <https://www.shoutoutuk.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/The-Missing-Link-Report-Digital-APPG-on-Political-Literacy-Report-Shout-Out-UK-Dr-James->

Weinberg.pdf

97. C. Willig, *Introducing qualitative research in psychology*, 3rd ed. Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2013.
98. Nira Yuval-Davis, *Gender & Nation*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road, London, Ec1y 1Sp, United Kingdom Sage Publications Ltd, 1997.
99. N. Yuval-Davis, "Intersectionality and Feminist Politics," *European Journal of Women's Studies*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 193–209, Aug. 2006, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350506806065752>.
- 100.A. U. Zaidi and M. Shuraydi, "Perceptions of Arranged Marriages by Young Pakistani Muslim Women Living in a Western Society," *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 495–514, Dec. 2002, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3138/jcfs.33.4.495>.